



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

		•			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 1489	
09/849,920	05/04/2001	William J. Dally	2789.1001-001		
21005	7590 06/05/2002				
HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C. 530 VIRGINIA ROAD P.O. BOX 9133			EXAMINER		
			COX, CASSANDRA F		
CONCORD, MA 01742-9133			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2816		
			DATE MAILED: 06/05/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				<u>ahu</u>			
	Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/849,920)	DALLY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	Cassandra		2816				
The MAILING DATE f this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address P riod for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 h</u>	March 2002						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is r	on-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5-7 and 10-18</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) <u>3-4,8-9</u> is/are objected to.	lti						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 May 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			(PTO-413) Paper No(Patent Application (PTO				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/849,920

Art Unit: 2816

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 11-12 and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 11 is indefinite because it is not clear to the examiner which input applicant is referring to in the statement "its input". The claim lacks clear antecedent basis because there are two different inputs. The same applies to claim 15.

Claim 12 is indefinite because it is not clear to the examiner how a pulse can be "a fraction" of a signal transition time. The same applies to claim 16.

Claims 15-18 are indefinite because it is not clear to the examiner if the claims are dependent apparatus claims that have been incorrectly claimed as method claims or if the claims are method claims that incorrectly depend from an apparatus claim.

Correction or clarification is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 09/849,920

Art Unit: 2816

4. Claims 1, 5-6, and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Elder (U.S. Patent No. 4,626,796).

In reference to claim 1, Elder discloses a circuit in Figure 2 that comprises a frequency generating circuit (15) which generates an output signal (15a) at a rate that is a multiple of input frequency (see column 2, lines 54-60); a phase comparator (31) which directly compares the phase of an edge of the input signal (13a) with the phase of an edge of the output signal (13b) and controls the frequency generating circuit (15) based on the comparison (see column 4, lines 21-31). The same applies to claim 6. The same also applies to claims 11 and 12, which to the extent understood have been rejected, wherein the combinational circuitry is seen as the circuitry included in the phase detector (31) which is not shown, but is considered to be inherent.

In reference to claim 5, Elder also discloses in Figure 2, that the frequency generating circuit (15) is a voltage-controlled oscillator (VCO). The same applies to claim 10.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2, 8 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Elder (U.S. Patent No. 4,626,796).

Application/Control Number: 09/849,920 Page 4

Art Unit: 2816

In reference to claim 2, Elder discloses all the limitations of the claim as mentioned above with respect to claim 6, except the limitation that the phase comparator also recieves a window signal that is true during edges of the input signal and output signal to be compared. However, this is considered to be an obvious design expedient dependent on the particular environment. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention that a window signal could be applied to the phase comparator for the advantage of controlling the timing of the operations of the phase comparator. The same applies to claim 8.

In reference to claim 13, Elder discloses all the limitations of the claim as mentioned above with respect to claim 6, except the limitation that the input signal and the output signal are applied to gates of transistors. However, this is considered to be an obvious design expedient dependent on the particular environment. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art that there are many phase comparators having combinational circuitry with transistors whose gates receive the input and output signals, of which fact official notice is taken, could be used in place of the phase comparator of Elder. The same applies to claim 14, which is seen to be an inherent function of phase comparators.

Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claims 3-4 and 8-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/849,920 Page 5

Art Unit: 2816

2. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject

matter: Claims 3-4 and 8-9 would be allowable because the closest prior art of record

fails to disclose a circuit as shown in Figure 14 wherein the window signal (window) is

generated by a divider (193) in combination with the rest of the limitations of the base

claims and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Cassandra Cox whose telephone number is 703-306-

5735. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:00 AM to

4:30 PM and on alternate Fridays from 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Timothy Callahan can be reached on (703)-308-4876. The fax phone

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-

872-9318 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final

communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

0956.

June 3, 2002

Cenneth Wells
Kennoth B. Wells

Primary Examiner